

Attorney Docket No.: 940630-010018

II. REMARKS

On August 26, 2004, Applicant and Examiner Ly agreed in a telephone interview, consistent with earlier communication, that Applicant would amend the claims of the instant application to clarify the term "operators" in all independent claims. Accordingly, the preamble of the independent claims was been amended to clarify the claim term "operators." Further in the telephone interview, Applicant agreed to clarify lines 7-10 of claim 1 regarding the relationship between a data stream and a database. The claim was amended to overcome any confusion regarding those terms, and a paper incorporating all the agreed claim amendments was filed on September 24, 2004.

Subsequently, Examiner Corrielus issued an Advisory Action indicating that the amendment of September 24, 2004 would not be entered.

On November 24, 2004, Applicant and Examiner Corrielus agreed in a telephone interview that Applicant would further amend the claims to incorporate dependent claims 6 and 13 into independent claims 1 and 9 respectively, and to amend claim 22 consistent with elements of claim 1 related to output indicators. Claims 25 - 33 were inadvertently overlooked during the telephone interview, and have been amended consistent with the agreed amendments to the other claims.

Claims 2, 23 and 24 were earlier canceled, claims 6 and 13 are canceled by this amendment. Claims 1, 3 - 12, 14 - 22, and 25 - 33 remain active.

Attorney Docket No.: 940630-010018

Applicant believes that claims 1, 3 - 12, 14 - 22, and 25 - 33 as currently presented are in condition for allowance and respectfully requests entry of the amendment and allowance of the pending claims.

Respectfully submitted,

By: 
Russell O. Paige
Reg. No. 40,758

Jones Day
51 Louisiana Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20001-2113
Tel. (202) 879-3939

Date: November 24, 2004